

EDITORIAL BOARD

Positive Science - Economics

Editorial Board & Governance

Positive Science - Economics

Legal responsibility (required information)

Publisher (Editeur)

Positive Science SAS

Societe par Actions Simplifiee (SAS)

Strasbourg, France

Director of Publication (Directeur de publication)

Aleksandr Platonov

President, Positive Science SAS

Positive Science - Economics does not operate a traditional Editorial Board. Instead, our model rests on two pillars designed to maximize rigor, speed, and transparency:

1) Reviewer Pool (our peer-review engine)

A vetted pool of subject-matter, methods, and data/code reviewers works to our standardized review methodology and timelines (anonymous, double-blind review under our Peer Review Policy).

- Reviewer identities remain confidential.

2) Advisory Board (strategic guidance)

Our Advisory Board brings together senior researchers and experienced practitioners from business and the public sector - people who design and run expert workflows (research operations, panel management, evidence synthesis, quality assurance, service design for reviewers).

They do not act as Editors in the traditional sense (they do not handle manuscripts or make accept/reject decisions). Their remit is to provide strategic input on building and scaling our review system: process design, reviewer selection and training standards, timelines and service levels, COI safeguards, data/code policies, and performance metrics.

Advisory Board members may, in a personal capacity, take part in the peer-review process within their area(s) of competence under our anonymous, double-blind Peer Review Policy. Their independent reports help us iterate and calibrate the journal's review methodology.

Decision-making & independence

Manuscript screening and decisions are issued by the reviewers; there is no Editor-in-Chief role.